## The intellectual Combinrowers

How the Institute for Policy Studies Tries to Remain Existentially Pragmatic While Subverting the Establishment

By Stephen Clapp

EGNF THIS WERE 1773, and the city were makes the Institute the obviously excit-Boston, the Institute would be ing place it is, holding a sentinar on British inperialism. There would be tables and charts to show the injustice of the tax it is impossible to understand the In-Academic Revolution, a study of higher on tea. Probably somebody from the stitute without knowing its history, education. Jencks is presently directing Governor's office would be invited. Then, independent of the Institute, six ceived the idea of an independent re- sachusetts. or seven of the fellows would go out and dump a shipload of tea into Boston

Speaking is Karl Hess, one-time Barry Goldwater speechwriter turned "radical lihertarian." The Institute is the Institute for Policy Studies, 1520 New Hampshire happy. Despite successful careers, they His recently published book, Neighbor-Avenue, the fellows there consider themselves the most important political science faculty in the nation. Hess, who fronted at all—were the province of reled a seminar on the Old Right and the searchers at universities and government-New Left as an associate fellow, sees his colleagues as the Thomas Jeffersons and. Sam Adamses of the Second American institute would accept no government he is now at the University of Michigan. Revolution.

I came to the Institute," Hess says, "I now find it's the sort of person Barry Goldwater used to be. I've always admired Goldwater personally, and I wish, he could attend some of the seminars. He would like the ideas on neighborhood government; they are the active embodiment of his political principles. He might even modify his views on intperialism."

Many Washingtonians have heard of the Institute for Policy Studies, but few know just what it is or what it does. The reader may know that Marcus Raskin, a co-director, was indicted and acquitted in the celebrated Boston antidraft conspiracy case; or that Arthur! Waskow, one of the Institute's most active fellows, led a "Freedom Seder" in April on the anniversary of the 1968 District riots. Many times I had walked past the Institute's four-story town house near Dupont Circle and seen blacks in dashikis and students in Movement denims lounging on the steps. This fall, after having written a long critique of local universities ["Will Our Universities

search organization were hright young government aides and scholars in the the Urban Training Center for Christian Kennedy years. Schooled at the nation's Missions in Chicago before joining the most prestigious universities and graced Institute. He was instrumental in foundwith abundant professional opportunities, ing a community corporation in a they were nanetheless frustrated and un- Columbus, Ohio, ghetto neighborhood, The really important issues -- if con- perience. contracts or consultant fees, thus pre-"I didn't know what a radical was until serving the integrity of the Institute's primary mission: independent research,

"We were convinced that the problems of America were not technical or managerial, but moral," says Raskin. "To have a truly meaningful discussion of those problems it was necessary for us to get out of the hierarchical structures that were shaping our intellectual approach. We were very critical of 'objective knowledge,' realizing that much of what we had been taught contained hidden ideology and propaganda.'

Waskow is more blunt.

"Everyone assured us that we had received wonderful educations. In fact, they were terrible. Experience taught us to remake all our conceptions of society. We saw that the country was on the road to destruction, yet nobody was paying any attention. The big questions were all being studied by people on contract to the government. What we suspected in 1963 has become a gut-felt reality now. Government is incapable of studying its problems honestly.'

Before founding the Institute, Raskin had been legislative counsel to a group of liberal Congressmen and a member of ingness to identify with the oppressed

Council, Waskow had been a legislative assistant and a senior staff member of the Peace Research Institute.

The other founders were:

Richard J. Barnet, who had worked for the State Department and the Arms Control and Disarmament Agency. After: becoming co-director of the Institute, he wrote two major books, Intervention and Revolution and the recently published The Economy of Death.

Robb Burlage, who had served as research director for the Planning Office of the State of Tennessee. Since becoming a fellow, he has undertaken a study of urban health problems and analyzed the use of resources in Appalachia.

Christopher S. Jencks, who had written on education and poverty issues for The New Republic and other magazines. With David Riesman, he published The The half-dozen young men who con- an allied institute in Cambridge, Mas-

Milton Kotler, who was a lecturer at felt they were working an trivial matters. bood Government, grew out of that ex-

Donald N. Michael, who was a senior searchers at universities and government- staff member of the Brookings Institusponsored think-tanks. Early in their tion, He joined the Institute to study the planning, the founders decided that their political impact of technological change;

For the Institute's founders, it was not enough to leave their jobs for an ivory tower where they could think Great Thoughts, They felt they could gain fresh insights only by becoming directly involved in social change. By bridging the gap between involvement and detachment, they might be able to enliven their research and have greater impact on policy.

Practically, this commitment to action has involved the Institute in an array of projects and social experiments, some successful and some not.

Intellectually, it has led the fellows to subscribe to a philosophy of "existential pragmatism," which Raskin discusses in a forthcoming book, Being and Doing. Pragmatism is a favorite word of conservatives, who use it to justify acquiescence in existing power arrangements and cooperation with clites. Raskin argues that power arrangements historically lose their utility and become unjust and absurd. It is the social scientist's duty to perceive irrationality and to bring about alternatives. Crucial to perceiving irrationality, however, is the existential will-Hang Separately?" July 1969 Washing- of liberal Congressmen and a member of and powerless. In Being and Doing, Rastonian], I decided to Approved har the executation of the provention of the control of the provention of the control tionally "stood off" from their subjects

Continued